

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Rhodes, Lester Kent
Serial No.: 10/743,630
Filed: 12/22/2003
For: Crane Supporting Apparatus
Confirmation No. 7407
Art Unit: 3654
Examiner: Brahan, Thomas J.
.....

APPLICANT'S ARGUMENT/REMARKS MADE IN AN AMENDMENT

The Remarks set forth below are submitted as part of the Applicant's response to the Examiner's *Detailed Action* mailed 10/10/2006 and having a response due by 1/10/2007.

Remarks

1. The Remarks' section of the Applicant's August 22, 2006 "Applicant's Argument/Remarks Made in an Amendment" stated:

"In order to more clearly distinguish from the apparatus of *Motoda*, Amended Claim 1 has been re-amended to include a further limitation specifying a mounting frame, and specifying that the pedestal is mechanically linked to the mounting frame, ..." (Emphasis Added)

(See pg. 2 of August 22, 2006 "Applicant's Argument/Remarks Made in an Amendment".) However, as a result of a typographic error, the August 22, 2006 accompanying *Amendment* stated: